## BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

M.A. No. 166 of 2013 Appeal No.  $25(T_{HC})$  of 2013

Smt. Octavia Albuquerque & Ors. Vs. Union of India & Ors.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON CORAM:

> HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE MR. DR. D.K. AGRAWAL, EXPERT MEMBER

HON'BLE MR. B.S. SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE DR. R.C. TRIVEDI, EXPERT MEMBER

Appellants: **Present:** Ms. Srishti Govil, Advocate

Mr. Malaya Kumar Chand, Adv. Respondent Nos.1&7:

Respondent Nos. 2 to 5, 9 to 13, 17: Mr. Parakshit Anpadi for Mr. V.N.

Raghupanthy, Advocates

Respondent No. 8: Mr. Vivek Chib, Mr. Asif Ahmed and Mr.

Kushal Gupta, Advs.

Respondent Nos. 14 & 15: Mr. Girjesh Pandey, Advocate

Res	pondent Nos. 14 & 15: Mr. Girjesh Pandey, Advocate
Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
4	We have heard the Learned counsel appearing for
Item No. 6 May 13, 2014	the parties.
	All the learned counsel have commonly suggested
The state of the s	the following names of the Members of the Committee
11/	which should inspect the site in question and file a
	com <mark>prehensiv</mark> e report on the terms directed hereafter.
_ A =	Following shall be the Members of the Committee:-
11 6	
11.0	1. Dr. Rajasekhariah Shankar
	2. Dr. Ramachandra Bhatta, Scientist G.
	3. An Scientist - E to be nominated by Indian
	Institute of Science, Banga <mark>lore</mark>
	4. An Expert on Coastal Zone Management to be
	nominated by the Secretary of Ministry of Earth
	Sciences.
	5. Director or his Senior nominee from Space
	Application Center, Ahmedabad.
	6. Member Secretary, Karnataka Coastal Zone
	Management Authority
	All nominations as afore-referred shall be named
	within one week from today. MoEF shall coordinate the
	activities of the Committee and shall also meet the
	expenses in connection with the inspection and report
	preparation.
	The Committee shall conduct inspection of the site
	and submit a comprehensive report in all respects. The

Report should indicate past and present status of the creek and whether the creek or any part thereof has been filled by any process and shall answer the following:

- 1. What is the history of the Creek and its significance in the life of the people living around the area?
- 2. What is the size and depth of the Creek at present and what was it prior to 2004?
- 3. Whether there existed a Creek (water body) as reflected in the Google Imagery of the year of 2004 and even of the year of 2012?
- 4. Whether creek shown in the survey of India map and google maps from 2004 to 2013, is reflected/ shown in CZMP, 1996?
- 5. Whether low tide line and high tide lines are demarcated properly and shown in CZMP, 1996
- 6. Whether the proposed project area in question is in CRZ 1 or 2?
- 7. Whether there are any CRZ violations at the site?
- 8. Whether the creek (water body) has been manually filled to convert it into solid mass of earth for the purposes of establishing the Fishery Harbour and Processing Unit at the site in question?

The Committee shall submit its Report to the Tribunal within six weeks from today.

List this matter on 09th July, 2014 for directions and arguments.

,Cl (Swatanter Kumar)	F
,JN (U.D. Salvi)	/1

